

Water Redress Scheme

ADJUDICATOR'S FINAL DECISION SUMMARY

Adjudication Reference: WAT-X707

Date of Final Decision: 12 January 2022

Party Details

Customer: The Customer

Company: The Company

Complaint

The customer claims that the company has wrongfully reimbursed his surface water drainage charges. The charges to be refunded should be calculated from the date the customer moved into the property, not from a set period before the customer has contacted the company. The customer is seeking the company to refund his surface water drainage charges from 23 June 2003 rather than 1 April 2014.

Response

The company says it was for the customer to inform it of any dispute regarding the surface water drainage charge. As the customer did not contact the company until July 2021, the reimbursement of charges would only apply from April 2014 in accordance with its policy. It was decided in 2014 to set 1 April 2014 as the date from which the company would offer rebates to customers to avoid those customers wishing to claim a refund for longer durations being disappointed. The company has not made any further offers of settlement.

Findings

I am satisfied that the evidence shows the company did not fail to provide its services to the standard to be reasonably expected regarding the surface water drainage charges. The reasons and evidence provided by the customer are not sufficient to justify his claim that the company reimburse his surface water drainage charges from 2003.

Outcome

The company does not need to take any further action.

The customer must reply by 25 Janaury 2022 to accept or reject this decision.

ADJUDICATOR'S FINAL DECISION

Adjudication Reference: WAT-X707

Date of Final Decision: 12 January 2022

Case Outline

The customer's complaint is that:

- The company has wrongfully reimbursed his surface water drainage charges from 23 June 2003.
- The charges to be reimbursed should be calculated from the date the customer moved into the property, not from a set period before the customer has contacted the company.
- The customer is seeking the company to refund his surface water drainage charges from
 23 June 2003 rather than 1 April 2014.

The company's response is that:

- It was for the customer to inform the company of any dispute regarding the surface water drainage charge.
- As the customer did not contact the company until July 2021, the reimbursement of charges would only apply from April 2014 in accordance with its policy.
- It was decided in 2014 to set 1 April 2014 as the date from which the company would offer rebates to customers to avoid those customers wishing to claim a refund for longer durations being disappointed.
- Accordingly, the company will not reimburse the charges beyond 1 April 2014.

How is a WATRS decision reached?

In reaching my decision, I have considered two key issues. These are:

1. Whether the company failed to provide its services to the customer to the standard to be reasonably expected by the average person.

2. Whether or not the customer has suffered any financial loss or another disadvantage as a result of a failure by the company.

In order for the customer's claim against the company to succeed, the evidence available to the adjudicator must show on a balance of probabilities that the company has failed to provide its services to the standard one would reasonably expect and that as a result of this failure the customer has suffered some loss or detriment. If no such failure or loss is shown, the company will not be liable.

I have carefully considered all the evidence provided. If I have not referred to a particular document or matter specifically, this does not mean that I have not considered it in reaching my decision.

How was this decision reached?

- 1. The dispute centres on whether the company should reimburse the customer's surface water drainage charges from when the customer moved into the property in 2003.
- The company must meet the standards set out in OFWAT's Charges Scheme Rules, the Water Supply and Sewerage Services (Customer Service Standards) Regulations 2008 and the Water Industry Act 1991.
- 3. The company also has certain obligations regarding its customer services as set out in OFWAT Guaranteed Standards Scheme and its own Guarantee Standards Scheme (GSS).
- 4. From the evidence put forward by the customer and the company, I understand that the customer contacted the company in July 2021 to query his surface water drainage charges. The company states in its response that there was no indication that the customer applied for a surface water rebate previously.
- 5. The evidence shows that the company undertook a desktop survey which was inconclusive, and the customer was informed that he needed to arrange a visit to claim an allowance on his surface water drainage charges. The company attended the property on 6 August 2021 and established that the customer was not connected for the purposes of the surface water drainage charge. The company then wrote to the customer confirming its findings and issued a refund of the surface water charges from 1 April 2014, in line with its 2020/21 Charges Scheme.
- 6. The evidence shows that the customer was unhappy that the surface water charges had only been backdated to 1 April 2014, as in his view, the charges should be backdated to when he

moved into the property in 2003. The customer progressed his complaint to CCWater to resolve and, on 3 November 2021, commenced the WATRS adjudication process.

- 7. As to whether the company should reimburse the customer's surface water drainage charges from 2003, OFWAT issues guidance to water companies that they should offer a rebate to customers when all their rainwater drains to a soak-away or direct to a watercourse rather than to the public sewer.
- 8. I understand that since 1989, the company has advised its customers of the opportunity for customers to claim a surface water rebate on their bills and within an annual letter. I also note that the company's Charges Scheme advises it is the customer's responsibility to apply for a reduction in charges and where it can be established that the property is not connected to the public sewer for surface water drainage, the reduction in the charge for a successful claim will be made for up to 1 April 2014. After careful review of the evidence, I find that I agree that the company would have no way of knowing without inspecting the customer's property whether the property's rainwater drains were connected to the public sewer.
- 9. The evidence shows that on 7 July 2021, the company received the customer's request for a reduction in surface water drainage charges and following this, the company issued a refund of the customer's surface water charges from 1 April 2014 in line with its Charges Scheme. I understand that it was decided by the company in 2014 to set 1 April 2014 as the date from which the company would offer rebates to customers to avoid those customers wishing to claim a refund for longer durations being disappointed. From the evidence provided, I am satisfied that the company was correct to issue a refund of the customer's surface water charges from 1 April 2014.
- 10. The customer has made minor comments on the preliminary decision. Having carefully considered each aspect of the customer's comments I find that they do not change my findings, which remain unaltered from the preliminarily decision.
- 11. Considering the above, I find the customer has not proven the company failed to provide its services to the customer to the standard to be reasonably expected by the average person concerning the rebate on his surface water charges, nor has the customer proved the company failed to provide services to the standard to be reasonably expected when investigating this issue.

Outcome

The company does not need to take any further action

What happens next?

- This adjudication decision is final and cannot be appealed or amended.
- The customer must reply by 25 January 2022 to accept or reject this decision.
- When you tell WATRS that you accept or reject the decision, the company will be notified of this. The case will then be closed.
- If you do not tell WATRS that you accept or reject the decision, this will be taken to be a rejection of the decision.

Mark Ledger FCIArb

Adjudicator