

+44 (0)20 7520 3800 | applications@cedr.com | www.cedr.com/idrs

Dispute Resolution Service

ADR Entity Reporting - Annual Report

Communications & Internet Services Adjudication Scheme (CISAS)

Reporting period: 1 July 2022 – 30 June 2023

In June 2015 CISAS was approved by Ofcom to provide alternative dispute resolution under the Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent Authorities and Information) Regulations 2015 ("the Regulations").

As part of Ofcom's approval, CISAS is required under Schedule 5 of the Regulations to produce an annual activity report containing the following information:

(a) The number of domestic disputes and cross-border disputes the ADR entity has received

In the reporting period, CISAS received a total of 11,807 domestic disputes and no cross-border disputes. Of these, 9124 disputes came within the scope of what CISAS can deal with, while 2683 were either out of scope or were discontinued for operational reasons.

(b) The types of complaints to which the domestic disputes and cross-border disputes relate

The following table sets out the types of domestic disputes that were referred to CISAS in the reporting period which came within the scope of what CISAS can deal with. No cross-border disputes were received by CISAS.

Complaint Types	Number of Cases
Billing	2342
Service quality	1762
Contract issues	2106
Customer service	1333
Mis-selling	904
Equipment	599
Security	78

(c) A description of any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders of which the ADR entity has become aware due to its operations as an ADR entity

In recent years, UK consumers have faced an unprecedented level of vulnerabilities, both health-related (as a particular consequence of the Covid-19 pandemic) and financial (with particular focus on the ongoing cost of living crisis and high inflation rates). Consumers have been hard hit by inflation-linked annual price rises by telecommunications providers, which has led to a number of disputes about those price rises.

(d) Any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how the problems referred to in paragraph (c) could be avoided or resolved in future, in order to raise traders' standards and to facilitate the exchange of information and best practices

Providing an accessible and responsive customer service function is an essential for all public-facing companies, particularly when an increasing number of consumers are affected by health-related, financial and other social vulnerabilities. Improving the work of customer service functions to equip them with the tools to identify and resolve issues quickly is a key tool in preventing complaints from escalating into disputes that are referred to ADR.

(e) The number of disputes which the ADR entity has refused to deal with, and percentage share of the grounds set out in paragraph 13 of Schedule 3 on which the ADR entity has declined to consider such disputes

CISAS refused to deal with a total of 1082 disputes in the reporting period. The following table sets out the percentage share of the grounds on which CISAS declined to consider these disputes:

Reason for Refusal	Percentage Share
Prior to submitting the complaint to the body, the consumer has not	39%
attempted to contact the trader concerned in order to discuss the	
consumer's complaint and sought, as a first step, to resolve the matter	
directly with the trader	
The dispute is frivolous or vexatious	0.5%
The dispute is being, or has been previously, considered by another ADR	1.5%
entity or by a court	
The value of the claim falls below or above the monetary thresholds set	1%
by the body	
The consumer has not submitted the complaint to the body within the	58%
time period specified by the body, provided that such time period is not	
less than 12 months from the date upon which the trader has given	
notice to the consumer that the trader is unable to resolve the complaint	
with the consumer	
Dealing with such a type of dispute would seriously impair the effective	Nil
operation of the body	

(f) The percentage of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued for operational reasons and, if known, the reasons for the discontinuation

During the reporting period, CISAS discontinued a total of 1601 cases for operational reasons. This represents 14% of the total amount of disputes received by CISAS.

The following table sets out the percentage share of the reasons for which CISAS discontinued cases for operational reasons:

Reason for Discontinuance	Percentage Share
The subject matter of the dispute did not fall within the scope of what	42%
CISAS can consider under its Scheme Rules	
The consumer submitted an incomplete application to CISAS which could	1%
not be taken forward owing to the lack of information	
The trader that the consumer is complaining about was not registered	2%
with CISAS as its ADR entity	
The consumer was not a 'customer' of the trader under the definition set	23%
out in the CISAS Scheme Rules	
The consumer voluntarily withdrew their dispute from CISAS while it was	32%
in progress	

(g) The average time taken to resolve domestic disputes and cross-border disputes

Domestic disputes took an average of 19 calendar days to resolve.

No cross-border disputes were received by CISAS.

(h) The rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of the alternative dispute resolution procedures

CISAS does not record data on the rate of compliance with outcomes.

CISAS has a process in place whereby a trader that fails to comply with an adjudicator's decision that has been accepted by the consumer has their membership of CISAS suspended. If non-compliance persists, the trader's membership of CISAS is terminated.

(i) The co-operation, if any, of the ADR entity within any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes

Since 2019, CEDR has been a founding member of the Telecoms-Net group of European ADR entities facilitating the sharing of best practice in dispute resolution in the telecommunications sector.