



ADR Entity Reporting – Annual Report

Communications & Internet Services Adjudication Scheme (CISAS)

Reporting period: 1 July 2024 – 30 June 2025

In June 2015 CISAS was approved by Ofcom to provide alternative dispute resolution under the Alternative Dispute Resolution for Consumer Disputes (Competent Authorities and Information) Regulations 2015 (“the Regulations”).

As part of Ofcom’s approval, CISAS is required under Schedule 5 of the Regulations to produce an annual activity report containing the following information:

- (a) The number of domestic disputes and cross-border disputes the ADR entity has received

In the reporting period, CISAS received a total of 7580 domestic disputes and no cross-border disputes. Of these, 5533 disputes came within the scope of what CISAS can deal with, while 2047 were either out of scope or were discontinued for operational reasons.

- (b) The types of complaints to which the domestic disputes and cross-border disputes relate

The following table sets out the types of domestic disputes that were referred to CISAS in the reporting period which came within the scope of what CISAS can deal with. No cross-border disputes were received by CISAS.

Complaint Types	Number of Cases
Billing	1433
Service quality	1159
Contract issues	1025
Customer service	930
Mis-selling	547
Equipment	416
Security	23

- (c) A description of any systematic or significant problems that occur frequently and lead to disputes between consumers and traders of which the ADR entity has become aware due to its operations as an ADR entity

Terms and conditions that customers are not fully aware of often lead to disputes. Consumers often dispute unexpected charges or their entitlement to automatic compensation, and traders may not always provide clear and transparent information about these matters, which leads to misunderstandings.

- (d) Any recommendations the ADR entity may have as to how the problems referred to in paragraph (c) could be avoided or resolved in future, in order to raise traders' standards and to facilitate the exchange of information and best practices

The provision of clear information at an early stage about the parameters and limitations of telecommunications services, as well as entitlement to automatic compensation, will ensure that consumer expectations are set correctly, which in turn reduces the likelihood of disappointment and complaints that lead to ADR disputes.

- (e) The number of disputes which the ADR entity has refused to deal with, and percentage share of the grounds set out in paragraph 13 of Schedule 3 on which the ADR entity has declined to consider such disputes

CISAS refused to deal with a total of 366 disputes in the reporting period. The following table sets out the percentage share of the grounds on which CISAS declined to consider these disputes:

Reason for Refusal	Percentage Share
Prior to submitting the complaint to the body, the consumer has not attempted to contact the trader concerned in order to discuss the consumer's complaint and sought, as a first step, to resolve the matter directly with the trader	16%
The dispute is frivolous or vexatious	Nil
The dispute is being, or has been previously, considered by another ADR entity or by a court	4%

The value of the claim falls below or above the monetary thresholds set by the body	Nil
The consumer has not submitted the complaint to the body within the time period specified by the body, provided that such time period is not less than 12 months from the date upon which the trader has given notice to the consumer that the trader is unable to resolve the complaint with the consumer	80%
Dealing with such a type of dispute would seriously impair the effective operation of the body	Nil

(f) The percentage of alternative dispute resolution procedures which were discontinued for operational reasons and, if known, the reasons for the discontinuation

During the reporting period, CISAS discontinued a total of 1681 cases for operational reasons. This represents 22% of the total amount of disputes received by CISAS.

The following table sets out the percentage share of the reasons for which CISAS discontinued cases for operational reasons:

Reason for Discontinuance	Percentage Share
The subject matter of the dispute did not fall within the scope of what CISAS can consider under its Scheme Rules	27%
The consumer submitted an incomplete application to CISAS which could not be taken forward owing to the lack of information	43%
The trader that the consumer is complaining about was not registered with CISAS as its ADR entity	3%
The consumer was not a 'customer' of the trader under the definition set out in the CISAS Scheme Rules	9%
The consumer voluntarily withdrew their dispute from CISAS while it was in progress	18%

(g) The average time taken to resolve domestic disputes and cross-border disputes

Domestic disputes took an average of 17 calendar days to resolve.

No cross-border disputes were received by CISAS.

(h) The rate of compliance, if known, with the outcomes of the alternative dispute resolution procedures

CISAS does not record data on the rate of compliance with outcomes.

CISAS has a process in place whereby a trader that fails to comply with an adjudicator's decision that has been accepted by the consumer has their membership of CISAS suspended. If non-compliance persists, the trader's membership of CISAS is terminated.

(i) The co-operation, if any, of the ADR entity within any network of ADR entities which facilitates the resolution of cross-border disputes

Since 2019, CEDR has been a founding member of the Telecoms-Net group of European ADR entities facilitating the sharing of best practice in dispute resolution in the telecommunications sector. The latest meeting was in June 2025 in Barcelona.